Officer Decision Record - Executive Decision

If Key Decision: Decision Ref. No. B092/22

If not a Key Decision write n/a above

OFFICER DECISION RECORD i

Officer Key Decisions are subject to the Council's Call-In Procedure (Annex 9 of the Council's Constitution https://www.hertfordshire.gov.uk/about-the-council/freedom-of-information-and-council-data/open-data-statistics-about-hertfordshire/who-we-are-and-what-we-do/who-we-are-and-what-we-do.aspx)

Subject: (If a Key Decision please use the same title as provided in the Forward Plan)

Explore procuring Ultra-low Emission vehicle (ULEV) and Electric Vehicle (EV) salary sacrifice scheme

Type of Decision: Non-Executive

Key Decision (Executive Functions only): Yes

Executive Member:

Bob Deering

Portfolio (Executive Functions only): N/A

Officer Contact: Sass Pledger

Tel: 01992555970

1. **Decision**

To produce Tusker via the CPC Drive Single-supplier framework to provide an ultra-low emission (ULEV) and electric vehicles (EV) salary sacrifice scheme.

2. Reasons for the decision

To support staff to access low-emission vehicles to reduce emissions from commuting and provide an alternative option to petrol/diesel vehicles whilst the prices continue to increase.

Informal pre-market engagement showed that Tusker provided the strongest risk protection for the council and best value for employees.

3. Alternative options considered and rejected

Not to provide a ULEV and EV salary sacrifice scheme.

Provide a fully electric vehicle salary sacrifice scheme.

Procure via competitive tender.

4. **Consultation** (see Summary of Requirements below)

Was any Councillor consulted? Yes

If yes:

(a) Comments of Executive Member

Overall, the scheme has very low financial risk for HCC and depending on take up will realise a modest saving on employer's NI and employer's pension contributions.

It may also help with recruitment and retention of staff.

It also helps deliver on the HCC sustainability objectives.

5. Any conflict of interest declared by a councillor who has been consulted in relation to the decision

(If a Councillor declares a conflict of interest DO NOT PROCEED without seeking advice from Democratic Services or Legal Services).

6. Following consultation with the Executive Member, I am proceeding with the proposed decision. (delete words in square brackets if no requirement to consult the Executive Member)

0:1	Sass Pledger	
Signed:		

Title: Director of Property

Date: 09-Sep-2022

Copies of record to:

- All consultees
- hard & electronic copy (if required to be made available for public inspection) to Democratic Services Manager - Room 213 County Hall.ⁱⁱ

Summary of Requirements to Inform/Consult Councillors

Significance of Proposed Action	Controversial	Relevant Councillor(s) to be Consulted
Technical/Professional/ Routine	No	No need to inform or consult councillors
Technical/Professional/ Routine	Yes	Executive Functions: Consult relevant Lead Executive Member and, where appropriate, Local Councillor Non-Executive Functions: Relevant Committee Chairman and, where appropriate, Local Councillor
Local	No	Executive Functions: Inform Lead Executive Member and Local Councillor Non-Executive Functions: Inform Local Councillor

Local	Yes	Executive Functions: Consult Lead Executive Member and Local Councillor Non-Executive Functions: Consult Local Councillor
General or County-wide	No	Executive Functions: Consult relevant Lead Executive Member (s) Non-Executive Functions: Consult relevant Committee Chairman
General or County-wide	Yes	Executive Functions: Consult relevant Lead Executive Member (s) and the Leader of the Council Non-Executive Functions: Consult relevant Committee Chairman/Leaders all Political Groups